ABSTRACT

This paper investigates solvents and techniques that could be applied towards removing crayon graffiti from a group of Munakata Shiko’s prints in the collection of the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston. The twelve prints to be treated were printed with black sumi ink on Japanese paper in the 1960’s. After the images were printed, they were mounted as a pair of folding screens, each with six prints with additional papers for the surround and backing. At some point, these works were defaced with graffiti. This graffiti was applied with crayon and it appears on one of the prints and three of the backing papers.

Before treatment could proceed, a number of tests using mockups were conducted to determine the best course of action. Different brands of crayons were tested. After using mechanical techniques to remove most of the crayon, four solvents: petroleum ether, toluene, mineral spirits and xylenes, which are located at the non-polar, wax area on the Teas-chart, were chosen for removing the graffiti. Test results show that toluene and xylenes have better solubility to crayon than other solvents; the use of mineral spirits resulted stains on the paper. These mockups were also examined using ultraviolet light, and in some cases fluorescent tide-lines were observed. Tide-line formation seems to depend upon how much crayon remained after mechanical cleaning and how the solvents evaporated. Taking into consideration the properties of Japanese paper, crayon and solvents, this paper also proposes three different techniques for solvent application that will avoid tide-line formation.

INTRODUCTION

In 2001, twelve woodblock prints by Munakata Shikô (1903–1975), were acquired by Museum of Fine Arts, Boston. These woodblock prints were mounted as a pair of six-panel folding screens. The images are printed with black sumi ink onto thin to medium weight Japanese paper. One of prints and several backing papers had been defaced by crayon (figs. 1–2).

This study presents tests for removing crayon on mockups using three different combinations of mechanical, solvent and wet treatment techniques. After these treatments, mockups...
Comparison between the mockups and the graffiti found on prints R1, R3, R4, R5, R6, L1 and backing paper R2, R5 shows that it might be made from yellow makers; graffiti found on print L6 and backing papers R4, L5 might be flesh and green colored crayons.

Analysis of the graffiti found on L6 and backing paper R4, L5 using transmitted light infrared micro spectroscopy indicates that they contain a hydrocarbon wax similar to paraffin as a binder, titanium dioxide or kaolin clay as a filler, and colorants.

**SOLVENT SELECTION**

Since analysis showed the crayon graffiti to contain paraffin as a binder, non-polar solvents were considered appropriate for removing it from the paper support. According to the Teas-chart, the area for wax (non-polar materials) is located at the lower right corner (fig. 7). Petroleum ether (fig. 8 number 6), toluene (fig. 8 number 7) and xylenes (fig. 8 number 8) were chosen as appropriate solvents for removing crayon. Due to the toxicity of toluene and xylenes, mineral spirits (fig. 8 number 4) were also selected because it is located at the extreme non-polar corner. Mineral spirits could be mixed with another, less toxic solvent to approximate the solvency characteristics of xylenes and toluene.

**CRAYON REMOVAL TESTS**

Mockups emulating the conditions found on the works of art to be treated were prepared by woodblock printing black sumi ink on a similar paper and lining these with

---

**Table 1. Graffiti are found on the screens**

---

![Mockup of marker under microscope](image1)

![Graffiti on the panel L1 under microscope](image2)

![Mockup of crayon under microscope](image3)

![Graffiti on the panel L6 under microscope](image4)
Solubility tests show wax-based crayon is soluble to slightly soluble in xylenes, toluene and mineral spirits. However, they are not as soluble as water is to the water soluble crayon. Solvents located at the wax area on the Teas-chart were not able to dissolve large amounts of crayon. Therefore, most of the crayon had to be removed using mechanical cleaning methods before using solvent cleaning. Therefore a treatment using mechanical and solvent techniques was explored. Since the crayon was thickly applied on top of the paper fibers, gentle pouncing with a kneaded eraser was able to remove most of it from the surface. A scalpel was also used to release additional crayon as required. After mechanical cleaning, most of the loose crayon was removed as seen in figures 9–10; but some crayon was still left and needed further treatment. Tide-lines were observed around the tested areas after using solvents indicating that some materials must have been dissolved from the crayon after testing. In addition, colorants were seen on the back of the paper, especially in areas free of printing. Therefore, obtaining acceptable cleaning results without causing tide-lines and dissolving colorants to the back of the paper would be desirable. Three techniques were carried out: 1. Small amounts of solvents were applied to the crayon from the front using cotton swabs. 2. The mock-ups were bathed in water followed by brush applied solvent to the front and picking up the crayon with blotting paper squares; 3. Moisten the mockup with water and placed it face up onto the damp blotting paper, brush applied solvent to the front and picking up the crayon with blotting paper squares (fig. 11).

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The cleaning results are seen in figures 13, 15, and 17. In technique 1, treatment could be controlled by using a tiny swab with small amounts of solvent and changing the cotton frequently and there is no colorant or stain seen at the back after treatment. Basically swabs with solvents dissolved crayon and lifted it away at the same time preventing colorants from penetrating the paper. Technique 1 appears to have a fair cleaning result. Toluene or xylene were more successful at removing crayon than petroleum ether or mineral spirits; use of mineral spirits resulted in the formation of haloes.
Figs. 9–10. Before (left) and after (right) mechanical cleaning

Fig. 11. Three techniques of crayon removal

Figs. 12–13. Before (left) and after (right) treatment of technique 1

Figs. 14–15. Before (left) and after (right) treatment of technique 2
CONCLUSION

Through tests of crayon removal on the mockups, investigating conclusion is as following:

1. No solvent works perfectly. Although toluene and xylenes do dissolve crayon well, use of solvent needs to be combined with either mechanical or wet treatment to achieve desirable results.

2. Mineral spirits causes paper to stain and become transparent in the treated area. This result was seen when it was mixed with other solvents as a substitute for toluene and xylenes.

3. Technique 1 allowed the most control during treatment. A combination of the wet treatment as techniques 2 and 3 did achieve better cleaning results. However, avoiding the formation of tide-lines is an important consideration if the technique 3 is to be used.

4. Crayon removal treatments using solvents shows a better cleaning result in areas of printing, because the printing acts as a barrier to solvents and dissolved colorants. In areas free of printing, cleaning is difficult and not as effective.
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MATERIALS

Caran d’Ache® NEOART Water-soluble wax pastel 7300 No.070****
CARAN D’ACHE SA
Chemin du Foron 19
PO Box 332
CH-1226 Thônex, Genève
Suisse
www.carandache.ch

Caran d’Ache® NEOCOLOR II Water-soluble wax pastel Light Cadmium Red (Hue) 7500 No.560
CARAN D’ACHE SA
Chemin du Foron 19
PO Box 332
CH-1226 Thônex - Genève
Suisse
www.carandache.ch

Sennelier Artist’ oil pastel No. 132501.200 Pigment: PO73 PW6
Z.I. 2, rue Lamarck, BP 204
22 002 St-Brieuc Cedex
France
Tel. +33(0)2 96 68 20 00
www.sennelier.fr

Sakura Cray-Pas® Expressionist® XLP#006
30780 San Clemente Street
Hayward, CA 94544
www.sakuraofamerica.com

Crayola® Orange
1100 Church Lane
Easton, PA 18044-0431
Tel. +1(0)610 253 6272
www.crayola.com

Crayola® Orange
1100 Church Lane
Easton, PA 18044-0431
Tel. +1(0)610 253 6272
www.crayola.com

Crayons Red
Beantown Marketing & Promotions Add Specialties, Inc.
PO Box 26
Middleton, MA 01949-0026
Tel. +1(0) 978 777 4422
www.kidsspecialtiesco.com
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NOTES

1. A black ink composed of soot and animal glue.
3. Horie C.V., Materials for Conservation, p194
4. The crayon’s brands are Sennelier, Sakura, Crayola and Crayons.
5. The crayon’s brands are Caran D’Ache NeoART and Caran D’Ache NeocolorII.
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